A Fu y Abstract May $est.n$ e ant $\cos_{\frac{1}{2}}$ or Concurrent b ects

A an Je_{f} rey C I De au $^{\prime\prime}$ n versity C^{\prime} ca o IL A a e_{ff} rey cs. epaule u Ju_ran at^he C G n n versity o_f ussex Br. $\frac{1}{2}$ ton K u anr co s susx ac u

 $ctober$ $\bullet\bullet$

Abstract

 Λ s paper provides $a_j u$ y abstract semantics for a variant of the concurrent object calculus. e e ne ay test in for concurrent object components and then characterise it using a trace se antics inspire by ML interaction diagrams. The main result of this paper is to show that the trace seemantics is fully abstract for may test $\ln \frac{1}{s}$ is the first such result for a concurrent ob ect an ua e

1 Introduction

Aba and Cardelli's loop object calculus is a minimal language for investigating features o_f object an ua es suc^h as encapsu ate state subtyping, and self variables. Gordon and Hankin α and α concurrent, eatures to the object calculus, to produce the concurrent object calculus.

r or work on the object calculus has concentrated on the operational behaviour of object syste s and type systems which provide type safety durantees. The closest paper to ours is Gordon and $\cos s = \cos \theta$ is the integral of the immutable single-threaded object calculus. There has been no work on providing fully abstract set antics for concurrent utable objects.

In this paper, we present the first fully abstract test in semantics for a variant of Gordon and Han in s concurrent object calculus without subtyping. The lack of subtyping here a_i for sasing per presentation of the labelled transitions and traces but we anticipate that the proof techniques use here are robust enough to cater, or subtyping also. This sequantics was inspired by ML interaction a rams and $w' \cdot c'$ are a common tool for visualising interactions with object systems.

1.1 Interaction diagrams

Interaction a rams in particular sequence a rams were eveloped by Jacobson, and are now part of the n_{ϵ} e Modeling Language standard in Interaction diagrams record the essages sent between objects of a component in an object system. These less a les include method calls

esearch partially supported by the u_i ed Foundation. Inversity o_i ussex technical report \bullet

an returns interaction a ray sinclude other forms of $\cos \theta$ essage but we will not use these in this paper¹

A s_{imple} interaction with an integer reference object r of type IntRef

equence a ray scan be use for multitude applications, for example:

Here, two threads in ependently call dethods of the object r, creating a race condition. In our textual representation, we live the threads names, and we decorate each message with the thread responsible for the message-

thread1 callr.set(
² thread2 callr.get

- Messa es are no a no outgoing essage calls or atching outgoing or incoming returns.
- Messa es are ecorated with thread identifiers.
- Messa es ay nc u e_s res^{\uparrow} na es

e 'ave only use a very small subset of sequence diagrams, which in turn is a very small subset of ML but in this paper we will show that this search subset is very expressive, and in particular provides $a_i u_j y$ abstract semantics.

1.2 The object calculus

 $\sqrt[n]{e}$ object calculus is a minimal language for \cot modelling object-based programming. Abadian Car e λ provided a type system and operational semantics for a variety of object calculi, and prove type sa, ety, or the degree on and Hankin changes are extended this language to include concurrent, eatures

In this paper, we shall invest, at ea variant of Gordon and Hankin s concurrent object calculus w^{\prime} , c^{\prime} , nc u es

- A^{\dagger} eap o_f na e objects and threads.
- Threads can call or up at explored the object can compare object or thread names, or equality can create new objects and threads and can discover their own thread name.
- An operational seematics based on $t \in \pi$ calculus and a simple type system.
- A trace se antics as \sqrt{s} cusse in ection 1.1.

e are not cons, er in any α_i the ore a vance calculus of the object calculus or the concurrent object calculus, such as recursive types, object coning and object ocean \cdots is is ust, or simplicity, an we o not see any technical problems with incorporating these features into our language.

In another strand of research D. B as o and Fisher also designed a calculus for α decimental and α and α and α and α are modelling a calculus for α decimental and α and α and α are modelling a c perative concurrent object-base systems. As with Abadi and Cardellis object calculus and its various extensions, the emphasis in D. B as o and Fisher's work is a diamon type systems and safety properties, or $t^{\lambda}e^{-t}$

1.3 Full abstraction

 λ e problem o_{f f}ull abstraction was rst introduced by Milner and investigated in ept^{λ} by ot *n* → Fu abstraction was rst propose for variants of the λ-calculus, but has since been anvest, ate for process a ebras $\vec{r} \cdot \vec{n}$ calculus $\vec{r} \cdot \vec{n}$ v-calculus [23, 10], Concurrent ML $\sin \theta$ in the immutable object calculus θ .

e can then e ne the may testing preorder as $C \sqsubset_{\text{av}} C$ whenever

f or any appropriate y type C
 $\uparrow C$ C C is success u then C C is success u

n ortunate y a t¹ ou ¹ *x s* very s. p e to e ne an *s* quate ntu*x*ve ay test *n s* o ten very \leq cu t to reason about rect y because o t¹ e quant. cat on over any appropriate y type $C \perp$ In practice we re

 $C = C$ where s is a sequence α_j essa es et α et a verte Traces $(C_{j}$ or the set α_j a traces α_j

- races are *sound*, or ay test n w[†] en
Traces (*C* Traces (*C* \leq p es *C* \sqsubset ay *C* |
- races are *complete*, or ay test n w¹ en $C \sqsubset_{\text{ay}} C$ + p es Traces (C Traces $(C +$
- races are fully absdwhereTj11.993tTJ/R1.991Tf1.19Tdfor-2may-22.9test-21.9ing-2.whenTJsi.9911.so/R11.9

- e n.t.on o_i e s f as zero-argument et \overline{a}
	- A **c** ec aration $f = v$ in an object is syntax sular for a $\int_{0}^{1} e^{u} \cos u \, du$ is $f = \frac{1}{2} \left(n \right)$ $T \cdot \lambda$ (v .
	- A c type *f* \in *T* in an object type is syntax su ar, or a ct of type *f* \in *T*.
	- A **e** access expression *v*. *f* is syntax su ar_f or a et $\sqrt[n]{\ }$ call *v*. *f*().
	- A e up ate expression $n \cdot f = v$ is syntax su ar_f or a $e^{t \lambda}$ o up ate *n*. f ($\zeta(p-T)$. λ (). *v* .

In a dition, we have restricted any subexpressions of an expression to be values rather than full expressions, or example in a let a call $v.l(\vec{v})$ we require the object and the arguments to be values rather than expressions $e.l(\vec{e} \mid \cdot)$ is a less the operational semantics luch easier to le ine, an oes not restrict the expressivity of the language, for example we can define (*e.l*(\vec{e}) (let $x =$ *e* in let $\vec{x} = \vec{e}$ in *x*.*l*(\vec{x})). Since \vec{e} are distinction between threads and expressions and expressions are the

A thread *t* consists o_f a stac o_f et expressions terminated either by a return value

let *x* = *e* in \cdots let *x*_{*n*} = *e*_{*n*} in *v*

or by a ea oc e stop $t \nvert$ reading

let *x* = *e* in \cdots let *x*_{*n*} = *e*_{*n*} in stop

Each expression is either itself a thread-or-

- an $\frac{1}{i}$ expression if $v = v$ then *e* else *e*
- a $e^{i\lambda}$ o ca $v.l(\vec{v})$
- a ct^{\dagger} o up ate *n*.*l M* on a na e object
- a new object new O
- a new t^2 rea new t or
- the current thread name current thread.

Eac^h value is simply a name or a variable and we defer the liscussion of types until ection 1.1.

2.2 Static semantics

The static semantics for our concurrent object calculus is given in Figures 2–6. Most of the rules are stral integrations of those wen by Abadi and Cardelli in the main integration of the method is α and α in α and α entropy α Δ *-C* Θ w^t\ c^t\ is read as t^t\ e component *C* uses names ∆ and defines names Θ! For example, we e ne $C(v \cap C)$ and IntRef as:

> *C* (*v p* contents $= v$, $set = \varsigma$ (this IntRef $\lambda(x)$ Int . this.contents = *x*,*x*, $get = \varsigma$ (this IntRef λ). this.contents *C n* let $x = p$.get() in p .set(x + ;stop

IntRef contents Int

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\hline\n\text{A}_{\gamma} & \text{A}_{\gamma}n \quad T \quad -\text{A}_{\gamma}n \quad \text{therad} \quad t \quad \text{none} \\
\hline\n\text{A}_{\gamma} & \text{A}_{\gamma}n \quad \text{A}_{\gamma}n \quad \text{A}_{\gamma}n \quad \text{therad} \quad t \quad \text{none} \\
\hline\n\text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{therad} \\
\hline\n\text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text{A}_{\gamma} \quad \text
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{F. ure} & \text{u es}_{j} \text{ or } \text{u} & \text{c} & \text{ent } \Delta = C \quad \Theta \\
\hline\n\text{T.}\Delta & \Delta M = T.l & \cdots & \Gamma, \Delta \quad \Delta M_k = T.l_k \\
\hline\n\text{T.}\Delta & l = M & \cdots, l_k = M_k = T\n\end{array}
$$

F_k ure u e_f or u e ent Γ, Δ *-O* Γ when $T = L L$,..., $l_k L_k$ Γ ₇, x \ldots \ldots Γ, Δ \in $(n-1)$. $\lambda(x-1)$, \dots , x_k T_k . t T . l

F_k ure u e_{*i*} or u e ent Γ , Δ *M* Γ *l* \mathbf{w}^{\dagger} en $T = \dots, L$ $(T, \dots, T_k$ U, \dots and T .*l* is tⁱ e recor *l* se ecte $\int f$ for *T*

$$
\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow T}{\Gamma, \Delta \quad e = T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \quad e = T}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow e = T \quad \Gamma, \Delta \quad e = T}{\Gamma, \Delta \quad \text{if } \nu = \nu \quad \text{then } e \quad \text{else } e = T}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \nu \quad \dots \quad \downarrow} \quad \dots \quad \downarrow} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \nu \quad \dots \quad \downarrow} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \nu \quad \downarrow} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \nu \quad \uparrow} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \nu \quad \downarrow} \quad \frac{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \nu \quad
$$

 F_{\star} ure $u \text{ es}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ or $u \text{ e} \text{ ent } \Gamma, \Delta \text{ - } e \text{ } T$

arable contexts: $\Gamma = x$, T, \ldots, x *T* a e contexts: $\Delta, \Theta, \Sigma, \Phi = -n$ T, \ldots, n *T*

In variable contexts, variables must be unique and are viewed up to reordering. In name contexts, names must be unique, types must not be none, and are viewed up to reordering.

 $F₁$ ure \overline{C} yntax \overline{O} na e and variable contexts

Whenever ∆ *C* : Θ contains a subexpression of the form *n*.*l M* with *n* free, then *n* appears in Θ.

 λ s is intended to capture the common software engineering requirement that components showed by λ not export utable either stated they should export suitable get and set methods. For example, the con urations *C* and *C* above are write close since the only up ates are the following parameters are th component which writes arectly to *p*.contents is not write close. **B**
 Example 2 in C. **C.** when a subsequence to \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} when \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} is \mathbf{r} i (Pressiant o_f $\int_0^1 e_j dr$ in

twire en anear requires

exponential eigent in st

rest same $\int_0^1 e_j dr$ in stars

exponents to the contents and

entiss and write contents be write c

the per sace we onot nee to

the cent

C n let *x* = *p*.contents in *p*.contents = *x*+1;sto

For the remainder of the paper we will require components to be write close. The remainder of the paper we will require components to be write close. veloping a fully abstract semantics much simpler, since we do not need to rect y

2.3 Dynamic semantics

- Λ e ynamic semantics for our concurrent object calculus is given in Figures e e ne three re at ons between co ponents
	- structural congruence represents the east congruence on components w^{\dagger} . axioms in Figure 1.
	- *C*^t *C* when *C* can reduce to *C* by the interaction of a thread and an object $e \cdot t \cdot h$ ca or a et^{\wedge} o up at $e \wedge$

• C^{-P} C when C can re uce to C by a three act C^{-n} . e ne

$$
C \quad \psi(n) = T \begin{matrix} C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C \\ C & \psi(n) & T & C & C \\ \end{matrix}
$$

$$
F_{\star} \text{ ure} = Ax \cdot \text{o} \quad s_{\text{f}} \text{ or structural con ruence } w^{\text{th}} \text{ere } n \text{ s not } \text{free } x \text{ n } C
$$

n let *x* : *T* = *v* in *t* β *n t v*/*x n* let *x* : *T* = (let *x* : *T* = *e* in *e* in *t* β *n* let *x* : *T* = *e* in (let *x* : *T* = *e* in *t n* let *x* : *T* = (if *v* = *v* then *e* else *e* in *t* β *n* let *x* : *T* = *e* in *t n* let *x* : *T* = (if *v* = *v* then *e* else *e* in *t* β *n* let *x* : *T* = *e* in *t v* = *v n* let *x* : *T* = new *O* in *t* β ν(*p* : *T* .(*p O n* let *x* : *T* = *p* in *t 2else* = n) let *x* : *T* = ν(*^p* β: *T*.(*ⁿ*

2.4 Testing preorder

e w_{ill} now e ne the test in semantics for our concurrent object calculus. E will do this by defining a notion of *barb*

 (Δ, n_{-}) thread $-C$

 t^{λ} en w^{λ} ere *C* (*v* is e ne in ection w^{λ} we have:

$$
C \leftarrow \Theta
$$
\n
$$
\frac{w(n \text{ thread } n \text{ call } p \text{.get})}{\sqrt{(C (n \text{ let } x = p \text{.get}) (\text{ in return}) \cdot \Theta}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ call } p \text{ set}}} \qquad \frac{C}{n}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ call } p \text{ set}}} \qquad \frac{C}{n}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \text{ if } x = p \text{ set}} \qquad \frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{C}{n \text{ return } p \cdot \Theta}
$$
\n

 $w^{\dagger} c^{\dagger}$ correspon s to the interaction diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{r}\n\text{p: IntRef} \\
\text{get ()} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{r}\n5 \\
\text{set (6)} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{r}\n\text{set (6)} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

For any co ponent $(\Delta - C \Theta$ we e ne *its* traces to be.

$$
\text{Traces}(\Delta - C \Theta) = \{s \mid (\Delta - C \Theta) \stackrel{s}{\implies} (\Delta \cap C) \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet
$$

 t^{\prime} e base an ua e w[†] c[†] wou [†] ave been reac[†] e [†] a t[{] e co ponent an test actually interacte Soperation of er in is e ne below

\cdot The merge operator

De ne t¹e part a *merge* operator $C \wedge C$ on co ponents as t¹e sy etr c operator e ne up to w^{\prime} ere

 $(\nu(\rho T \cdot C \nu^{'\mathcal{M} C}) = C$
 $(\nu(\rho T \cdot C \nu^{'\mathcal{M} C}) = \nu(\rho T \cdot (C \nu^{'\mathcal{M} C}))$ $(p O C \wedge C = p O (C \wedge C$ $(p t C \wedge C = p t (C \wedge C$ $C \wedge (n t) C = n t \wedge t$ $(C \wedge C)$ $(n t)$

w¹ en *n* dom (*C*, *C* an *p* fn (*C*, \cdot

e over oa notat on an e ne t'e part a er e operator $t \wedge t$ on t'rea s as t'e sy etr_c operator where

$$
(\text{let } x - T = \text{block in } t \quad \text{M stop } = \text{stop}
$$
\n
$$
(\text{let } x - T = \text{block in } t \quad \text{M } (\text{let } y - U = \text{return } (\mu \cap T \text{ in } t \quad = \text{let } y - U = \text{block in } t \quad \text{M } (t \quad v/x)
$$
\n
$$
(\text{let } x - T = \text{block in } t \quad \text{M } (\text{let } y - U = e \text{ in } t \quad = \text{let } y - U = e \text{ in } ((\text{let } x - T = \text{block in } t \quad \text{M } t \quad \text{M } t \text{ in } t
$$

$$
w^{\dagger} \text{ en } e \text{ s} \text{ b} \text{ oc} \text{ return } f \text{ re} \text{ can } y \text{ for } (t +
$$

Lemma . If Δ (C C \implies Θ then (C \triangle C \cap C \ldots

Proof An in uction on t^{\dagger} e e nation of C \mathbb{A} C |

Lemma . If $C \wedge C$ c and C b then C b.

Proof An an uction on t^{\dagger} e e nation o_f C \mathbb{A} C |

Trace composition and decomposition $\ddot{}$

G ven a trace s we write s_f or t^{\dagger} e co p e entary trace

$$
\varepsilon = \varepsilon \sqrt{B} \text{I} \text{I} \text{M} \text{true} \text{An} \text{ H} \text{B} \text{C} \text{ID} \text{E} \text{I} \text{b} \text{een} \text{B} \text{C} \text{I} \text{D} \text{E} \text{I} \text{b} \text{e} \text{e} \text{I} \text{B} \text{C} \text{I} \text{C} \text{C} \text{C} \text{C} \text{C} \text{D} \text{E} \text{C} \text{D} \text{E} \text{C} \text{D} \text{C} \text{D} \text{C} \text{D} \text{C} \text{D} \text{D} \text{D} \text{C} \
$$

 \Box

 \Box

Proof Gven in Appen x A. ◯

Corollary *. For any components* $(\Delta, \Phi \ \mathcal{L} \ \Theta, \Sigma \ \text{and} \ (\Theta, \Phi \ \mathcal{L} \ \Delta, \Sigma \ \text{such that } C \ \mathcal{M} \ C$ *C and C b then there exists some trace s such that* $(\Delta, \Phi$ *-C* \subset Θ, Σ $\stackrel{s}{\implies}$ $(\Delta, \Phi$ *-C* \subset Θ, Σ $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$ *where either C b or C b*.

Proof: c now that C *b* which tells us that C *C*, or some *C* such that C *b*. cuse ropos. tion $+$ art to obtain a trace *s* such that

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad -C \quad -\Theta, \Sigma \stackrel{s}{\implies} (\Delta, \Phi \quad -C \quad -\Theta, \Sigma
$$

$$
(\Theta, \Phi \quad -C \quad -\Delta, \Sigma \stackrel{s}{\implies} (\Theta, \Phi \quad -C \quad -\Delta, \Sigma
$$

 \mathbf{W}^{T} ere $\mathbf{v}(\Delta, \Theta, \Sigma, \Delta, \Theta, \Sigma, (C \wedge C, C \wedge C))$ *C*. Given that *C b* we now that $(C \wedge C)$ *b* a so By the entron of \mathbb{A} we see that one of the following or their symmetric counterparts must hold

- C_{b} and we are one or
- *C* \vee α \wedge α *t* α i α \vee α \wedge α *t* α *c* \vee α *t* \wedge *t* \vee *t* \wedge *t* \vee \vee **c** now procee by in uction on the ention of t \mathbb{M} t to show that for a such *C* and *C* we can ind *s* where $\frac{1}{2}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ in $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
(\Delta, \Phi, \mathcal{L}, \Theta, \Sigma) = (\Delta, \Phi, \mathcal{L}, \Theta, \Sigma)
$$

$$
(\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{L}, \Delta, \Sigma) = (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{L}, \Delta, \Sigma)
$$

an ex^t er *C b* or *C b*^t ere are two cases up to symmetry of \mathbb{A} .

$$
- \underset{1}{L} t = \text{let } x - T = \text{block in } t \text{ an } t = \text{let } y - U = b \text{ succ}(\text{ in } t \overset{1}{\text{ then }} C_{b})
$$

$$
- \underset{1}{L} t = \text{let } x - T = \text{block in } t \text{ an } t = \text{let } y - U = \text{return } (\psi - T \text{ in } t \overset{1}{\text{ then }} w \overset{1}{\text{ then }} w \overset{1}{\text{ are }} w \text{ for } w \text{ in } x \text{ for } w \text{
$$

$$
n t \wedge t \qquad n \text{ (let } y \quad U = \text{block in } t \quad \wedge \wedge t \quad v/x \quad b
$$

so by in uctive hypothesis

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n(\Delta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Theta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \gamma} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad \beta} \quad \stackrel{s}{\mathsf{e}} \\
(\Theta, \Phi & \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \text{ return } \mathsf{v} \quad
$$

an either C *b* or C *b* as require \Box

4.3 Proof of soundness

Theorem 4. (Soundness of traces for may testing) *If* Traces(Δ *-C* is Θ Traces(Δ *-C* is Θ $then \Delta \models C \sqsubset_{\textit{may}} C _ \Theta$

Proof: uppose that Traces(Δ *-C* in Graces(Δ *-C* in equal that we have (Θ,*b* is barb -*C* in Δ such that $(C \quad C_{ab}$ *b*, we must show that $(C \quad C_{ab}$ *b* also. ow, since $(C \quad C_a \quad b$ we can use Corollary 4.4 to et.

> $(\Delta, b$ barb $-C$ Θ $\stackrel{s}{\equiv}$ $(\Delta, b$ barb $-C$ Θ , Σ (Θ, b_-) barb \mathcal{L} $\Delta \stackrel{s}{\Rightarrow}$ (Θ, b_-) barb \mathcal{L} Δ, Σ

 Δ - ε -trace Θ

 \boldsymbol{n}

- ι _{*f*} *n* threads (*s* t^{*f*}/en *n s* ba ance *n s*.
- $\iint_{I} n \cdot s$ ba ance $\iint_{I} \sin s \cdot s \cdot t \cdot \sin n \cdot s$ balance $\iint_{I} n \cdot s \cdot s \cdot t$
- I_f *n* is balance in *s* then *n* is balance in $v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{n} \text{ ?} s v(\Theta \cdot n \text{ return } v \text{ !}$
- I_f *n* is balance in *s* then *n* is balance in $v(\Theta \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{n} \text{ s}v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ return } v?$

De ne pop $n(s$ —as-

- I_f n is balance in s then pop $n(s) = 1$.
- I_f n is balance in s and $a =$

Proof: Easy in uction on s . \Box

Lemma 5.3

- *1. If* C *is block/return free and* $(\Delta C \Theta)^{\frac{s}{s}}$ ========= ν(Θ .*n* return*v then* $s = s \vee (\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \cdot \partial s))$ *where n is balanced in s .*
- *2. If* C is block/return free and $(\Delta C \Theta)^{\frac{s}{s}}$ $\overline{v(\Delta \text{ .} n \text{ return } v}$ *n then* $s = s \text{ } v(\Theta \text{ .} n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \text{ s})$ *where n is balanced in s .*

Proof: e prove these properties simultaneously by an induction on the length of *s*. We only show the argument for articles are can be shown in a singlet argument. By analysis of the rules of the ts, we λ ave

 $(\Delta - C \Theta) \stackrel{s}{\equiv} (\Delta \ C \ n \text{ let } x \in T = \text{return}(x \cup U \text{ in } t \in \Theta)$ ν(Θ .*n* return *v*

ow part_{itions} into *s s* pic *s s*

Case $s = s \vee (\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \cdot \theta) \quad \text{e} \text{ now } t \text{ at } n$

 $(\Delta - C_0 \Theta)$ = $(\Delta, \Delta(s_0 \Theta) \Theta(s_0 \Theta)^{v(\Delta, n_0)}$ call *p*.*l*(\vec{v})

so we $\frac{1}{2}$ ave that exter

$$
C \quad v(\Delta \quad v(\Delta \quad n \text{ let } x \quad T = \text{block in } t \quad C
$$

or *n* Δ , Δ (*s* an *n s* a _fres^t t^t\read to *s*. e can apply t^t\e inductive hypot^t\esis to *s* to see t^t at Δ *-s* in trace Θ and we consider pop*n*(*s* if *n* ∴ ∆(*s* and *n* is fresh thread to *s* then pop*n*(*s* is necessarily . Otherwise we now that $C = v(\Delta) \cdot v(\Delta') \cdot n$ let $x = T =$ block in *t* \subset and there, ore the last action which could have occurred at *n* and the been an output, that is, $popn(s) = \gamma$. In both cases we see that

n is input enable in Δ *s* in trace Θ

e now that $(\Delta, \Delta(s) - C = \Theta, \Theta(s)$ $\frac{v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p \cdot l(\vec{v}^2))}{v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p \cdot l(\vec{v}^2))}$ and we now that the s_reconditions on the transition rule, for $v(\Delta \cdot \gamma)$ actions unantees that

dom (Δ fn (\vec{v})

e a so now that the s_i e conditions on rule for call-input actions guarantees that

$$
\text{A.A}(s \quad \text{A} \Theta(s \quad \text{A} \quad p \cdot \mathcal{U} \bar{\mathcal{V}} - T \text{ an } p \quad \Theta, \Theta(s))
$$

e use this to see that

$$
,\Theta,\Theta(s\ ,\Delta\ __p\ \ldots\bot\ (\vec{T}\qquad T
$$

an

$$
,\Delta,\Delta(s^-, \Theta,\Theta(s^-,\Delta \perp \vec{\nu} \perp \vec{T}
$$

Last y \pm is easy to see that

, Δ, Δ(s , Θ, Θ(s , Δ *_n* ihread

e collect the statements (1)–(5) to ether to see that they form the hypotheses of the type ru e which a lows us to conclude

$$
\Delta \quad s \, \mathsf{v}(\Delta \quad n \, \text{call } p \, l(\vec{v}) \, \text{trace } \Theta
$$

as require

Case $s = s \vee (\Theta \cap n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \cap \Theta)$ are to previous case.

Case $s = s \vee \Theta$. *n* return $v \in \mathbb{R}$ now that

(∆ *C*

∆ *s* : trace Θ (1)

an we notice that because *C* is block return, ree we can apply Lemma 5.3 to set:

 $s = s \sqrt{\Delta}$. *n* call $p.l(\vec{v} \gamma s)$

where *n* is balance in $s \perp G$ is we see that

$$
popn(s \ v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \ ^{\gamma} s) = v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \ ^{\gamma}
$$

 $¹$ ence</sup>

$$
popn(s) = v(\Delta \dots n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v}) ?
$$

A a.n the side-conditions on the transition rule for $v(\Theta \cdot \gamma)$ uarantee that

dom (Θ fn (ν

e a so now by and t and t at t at prefixes of well-typed traces are also well-typed, that $Δ$ *s* $ν(Δ$ *.n* call *p.l*($\overrightarrow{ν}$ 2 trace Θ

and we see that this must have been inferred using a hypothesis

, Θ , Θ (*s* $-p$ \cdots *l* $(\vec{U} \quad U \cdots)$

 w^{\dagger} , c^{\dagger} by wea en.n ves us

 θ , Θ ($s \rightarrow \theta$... \Box $(\vec{U} \cup U)$...

Last y because

$$
(\Delta,\Delta(s\quad -C\quad \Theta,\Theta(s
$$

an

C $C \t n$ let x $T = \text{return } (v \cup U \text{ in } t)$

we see t^{\prime} at

$$
,\Delta,\Delta(s^-, \Theta,\Theta(s^-, \Theta \longrightarrow U
$$

o, by Lemma \pm to ether with the typing side conditions for call-input transitions, we have $t \hat{d}$ at $U = U$ and so

, $\Delta, \Delta(s$, $\Theta, \Theta(s$, Θ *^γ* \bot U

e collect the statements (1)–(5) to ether to see that they form the hypotheses of the type ru e w¹.c¹ a ows us to conclude

$$
\Delta \quad s \, \vee (\Theta \quad . \, n \, \text{return} \, \vee \, \text{trace } \Theta
$$

as require

Case $s = s \vee (\Delta \cdot n \text{ return } v \wedge \cdot s \cdot \text{ at to prev_ous case.}$

5.2 Information order on traces

 α α , the information preorder on traces Δ *r* − *s* = trace Θ is enerated by axioms where in each case we require both s_{ides} of the inequation to be well-type - traces

$$
\Delta s = r \text{ trace } \Theta
$$

\n
$$
\Delta s \gamma^2 = s \text{ trace } \Theta
$$

\n
$$
\Delta s \gamma^2 \gamma r - s \gamma \gamma^2 r \text{ trace } \Theta
$$

\n
$$
\Delta s \nu(\Delta \cdot \gamma^2 \gamma^2 r - s \nu(\Delta \cdot \gamma^2 \gamma^2 r \text{ trace } \Theta
$$

\n
$$
\Delta s \nu(\Theta \cdot \gamma \gamma r - s \nu(\Theta \cdot \gamma \gamma r \text{ trace } \Theta
$$

Lemma . (Information Order Duality) *If* Δ *r* γ *s* γ -trace Θ *and* fn (γ -Θ(*r*) =0 *and* γ *s, r then* Θ *s* \rightarrow *r* trace Δ *.*

Proof: c write Δ *r* $\stackrel{n}{\longrightarrow}$ trace Θ if Δ *r* \longrightarrow trace Θ can be crive using *n* instances of

Proposition . (Information Order Closure) *If* ($\Delta \text{ }$ *C* Θ $\stackrel{s}{\cong}$ and Δ *r* *r* Δ *then* (Δ *-C* · Θ $\stackrel{r}{=}$ ·

Proof: Show that the following diagrams can be completed when thread (γ = thread (γ :

·

```
Comp (\Delta<sub>-s</sub> trace \Theta = v(\Theta(s, \text{ref} - \text{Ref}, \text{state}) : (
    ref val = state_{\varepsilon}state<sub>ε</sub> State(\Delta \varepsilon s trace \Theta\prod\{p \; l_i = \text{ref.val.inCall}_{p \; l_i \; L_i} \; | \; i = \ldots n + p - l_i \; L_i \; | \; i = \ldots n \quad \Theta, \Theta(s \; \})\Pi{n ref.val.out<sub>none</sub>( \downarrown thread \Theta,\Theta(s }
Ref = val State
State = out_{\mathcal{T}} : () T, inReturn<sub>T</sub> : (T) T, inCall<sub>p+L</sub> : L
State(\Delta r <u>—s</u> trace \Theta = (
    \text{out}_T = \text{Out}_T(\Delta \quad r = \text{Since } \Theta,
    \text{inReturn}_{T} = \text{InReturn}_{T}(\Delta \quad r = \text{``} \text{~trace } \Theta \text{''},\text{inCall}_{p,l}\underline{L} = \text{InCall}_{p,l}\underline{L}(\Delta \ r = \text{trace } \Theta)Out<sub>T</sub>(∆ r = s_ trace Θ = λ().(
    w<sup>t</sup> en r a s an a = v(\Theta \text{ .} n \text{ call } p \cdot l(\vec{v} \text{ an }, \Delta, \Theta, \Delta(r, \Theta(r, \Theta \text{ p} \cdot l(\vec{v} \cdot \text{L} \cdot U)))if current thread = n then
            ref.yal = new State(\Delta \ r a = s \text{ trace } \Theta ,ref.val.inReturnU(p.l(\vec{v}))ref.val.out<sub>T</sub>(
    w<sup>†</sup> en r a s an a = v(\Theta \cdot n \text{ return } v an ,Δ,Θ,Δ(r ,Θ(r ,Θ -v - T
       if current thread = n then
            ref.val = new State(\Delta \quad ra = s trace \Theta,
            v
    otherwise
       stop
InReturn<sub>T</sub>(\Delta r - s trace \Theta = \lambda(x T .(
    w<sup>1</sup> en r a s an a = v(Δ . n returnv ? an ,Δ,Θ,Δ(r ,Θ(r ,Δ γ \perp T
       if \Delta, \Theta, \Delta(r, \Theta(r \text{ (currentthread}, x = v(\Delta \cdot (n, v \text{ then})))ref.val = new State(\Delta \, ra = s \, trace \, \Theta \, ,v
    otherwise
       stop
\text{InCall}_{p,l}({\vec{r}} \quad r(\Delta \quad r = \text{.}) \quad \text{trace } \Theta = \lambda({\vec{x}} \cdot {\vec{r}} \quad .w<sup>1</sup> en r a s an a = v(Δ . n call p.l(\vec{v} ? an ,Δ,Θ,Δ(r ,Θ(r ,Δ -\vec{v} -\vec{T}if \Delta, \Theta, \Delta(r, \Theta(r \text{ (currentthread)}, \vec{x}) = v(\Delta \text{ .} (n, \vec{v} \text{ then}))ref.yal = new State(\Delta \ r a = s trace \Theta,
           ref.val.out<sub>T</sub>(
    \text{ot}^{\prime}erwise
       stop
```
F_k ure De n.t.on o_f Comp (Δ *s* intrace Θ

if
$$
\Delta
$$
 ($= v(. (\text{ then } t = t$
\nif Δ ($v, \vec{v} = v(p - U, \vec{n} - \vec{T} . (p, \vec{p} \text{ then } t = \text{ if } v \Delta^{-}(U \text{ then } t)$
\nif Δ ($v, \vec{v} = v(\vec{n} - \vec{T} . (\vec{p} \text{ then } t \nu/p \text{ else stop})$
\nif Δ ($v, \vec{v} = v(\vec{n} - \vec{T} . (\vec{p} \text{ then } t \nu/p \text{ else stop})$

5.4 Proof of completeness

Theorem \ldots (Completeness of traces for may testing) *If* $\Delta \models C \sqsubset_{\text{map}} C \Box$ Θ *then* Traces(∆ *C* : Θ Traces(∆ *C* : Θ *.*

Proof $C' \text{ioose}$ any trace $s \, \alpha' \, \text{oex}$ α as $($ = ($($ **Proof** C¹oose any trace $s \alpha'$ objects $($ = $($

- *1. If C* !*C D E then there exist components such that C D E and C D E with* D D \wedge D and E E \wedge E .
- *2.* If $C \wedge C \longrightarrow (\vec{n} \vec{T})$. C then there exist components such that $C \vee (\vec{n} \vec{T})$. C and C $\nu(\vec{n} - \vec{T})$. C with $(\vec{n} - \vec{T}) = (\vec{n} - \vec{T})$, $\vec{n} - \vec{T}$ and C $\subset \mathbb{R}$ $\subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: rove by in uction on the erivation of *C* \triangle *C* \triangle *C* .

Lemma A. *If C* \triangle *C C* and C $\stackrel{\beta}{\sim}$ C^{b}

- **Case** $(\gamma = \psi(\vec{n} \vec{T} \cdot n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \text{ an } n \Sigma))$ \overline{S} ar to the previous case.
- **Case** $(\gamma = \sqrt{m \overline{T}})$ *n* return *v* . C ance $(\Delta, \Phi \ \mathcal{L} \ \Theta, \Sigma \ \mathcal{L} \ (\Delta, \Phi \ \mathcal{L} \ \Theta, \Sigma \ \text{we} \ \text{ust}^{\dagger} \text{avet}^{\dagger} \text{at})$ C $v(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{U})$ $(C$ *n* let x T = block in *t* C $v(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{U})$ $(C \cap n \mid t \mid v/x)$ $\Delta = \Delta, \vec{n}$ \vec{T} $\Theta = \Theta$ $\Sigma = \Sigma$ $S = \text{C}$ (Θ,Φ *-C* Δ ,Σ ^γ (Θ,Φ -*C* Δ ,Σ we ust¹ ave t¹ at

 C $\psi(\vec{n}_ \vec{T} \ \psi(\vec{p}_ \vec{U} \ \cdot (C \ \ n \text{ let } y _ \vec{U} = \text{return}(\psi _ \vec{T} \text{ in } t$

$$
C \quad \mathsf{v}(\vec{p}\mathsf{-}\vec{U} \quad (C \quad n \text{ let } y \mathsf{-} U = \text{block in } t
$$

 $e t \cdot \text{cn} s \cdot \text{ow} + \text{at}$

 $C \text{ } \mathcal{N}$ $C \text{ } \mathcal{N}$ \overrightarrow{T} \mathcal{N} \overrightarrow{U} \overrightarrow{V} \overrightarrow{U} \overrightarrow{U} \overrightarrow{U} \overrightarrow{C} $\overrightarrow{$ an $\frac{1}{1}at$

C
$$
\triangle C
$$
 $\vee (\vec{p} - \vec{U} \cdot \vee (\vec{p} - \vec{U} \cdot ((C \triangle C) n (let \vee U = block in t \triangle (t \vee x))))$
an—so

 $ν(Δ, Θ, Σ) λΔ, Θ, Σ)$. (*C* MC *C*

as require \Box

Co position ϕ ows by in uction on the erivation of $(\Delta, \Phi_1 - C_0, \Sigma_1)$ = $(\Delta, \Phi_1 - C_0, \Sigma_2)$ an $(\Theta, \Phi \stackrel{\prime}{\cdot} \mathcal{L} \Delta, \Sigma \stackrel{s}{\cdot} \Theta, \Phi \stackrel{\prime}{\cdot} \mathcal{L} \Delta, \Sigma$ a *n* use o_f Lewas A. A. and A.1.

A.2 Decomposition

 $e \sin \theta$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ as $\sin \theta$ which Decomposition follows.

Lemma A. For any \triangle , Φ *-C* \Box Θ , Σ *and* Θ , Φ *-C* \Box \triangle , Σ *if* (*C* \land C \Box $\forall (\vec{n} - \vec{T})$.(*C* \land let *x* $T = e$ in *t then either we have:*

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad \mathcal{L} \quad \Theta, \Sigma \stackrel{s}{\implies} (\Delta, \Phi \quad \mathsf{V}(\vec{n} - \vec{T} \quad . (C \quad n \text{ let } x - T = e \text{ in } t \longrightarrow \Theta, \Sigma
$$

$$
(\Theta, \Phi \quad \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma \stackrel{s}{\implies} (\Theta, \Phi \quad \mathcal{L} \quad \Delta, \Sigma
$$

where:

$$
v(\Delta, \Theta, \Sigma \setminus \Delta, \Theta, \Sigma \cdot v(\vec{n} - \vec{T} \cdot (C \ n t \wedge C \cdot v(\vec{n} - \vec{T} \cdot (C \ n t \cdot t)))
$$

or symmetrically, swapping the roles of C and C .

Proof: An in uction on the erivation of

$$
(C \wedge C \qquad \vee (\vec{n} - \vec{T} \cdot (C \quad n \text{ let } x - T = e \text{ in } t
$$

 $\sqrt[4]{e}$ interest in case is when:

$$
C \t n let x = T = block in t
$$

$$
C \t n let x = T = return(\nu T in t)
$$

an

n t v/x \mathbb{R} *n* let *x* $T =$ block in *t* $\psi(\vec{n} - \vec{T})$ $(C \neq n \text{ let } x \in T = e \text{ in } t$ so by e n.t.on o_i the is and by induction we have.

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad -C \quad \Theta, \Sigma \quad \xrightarrow{n \text{ return } v} {}^2 \quad (\Delta, \Phi \quad n \ t \quad v/x \quad \Theta, \Sigma
$$

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad n \ t \quad v/x \quad \Theta, \Sigma \quad \xrightarrow{s} \quad (\Delta, \Phi \quad v(\vec{n} - \vec{T} \quad (C \quad n \text{ let } x \quad T = e \text{ in } t \quad \Theta, \Sigma
$$

an

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad C \quad \Theta, \Sigma \quad \frac{n \text{ return } v}{r} \quad (\Theta, \Phi \quad n \text{ let } x \quad T = \text{block in } \mathcal{I} \quad \Delta, \Sigma
$$
\n
$$
(\Theta, \Phi \quad n \text{ let } x \quad T = \text{block in } \mathcal{I} \quad \Delta, \Sigma \quad \stackrel{s}{\implies} \quad (\Theta, \Phi \quad C \quad \Delta, \Sigma
$$

 w^{\dagger} ere

$$
\mathsf{V}(\Delta,\Theta,\Sigma\setminus\Delta,\Theta,\Sigma\cdot\mathsf{V}(\vec{n}-\vec{T}~.~(C~n~t~\wedge~C~\mathsf{V}(\vec{n}-\vec{T}~.~(C~n~t
$$

or symmetrically as require \Box

Lemma A. *If* $C \wedge C$ *C* and $C - \frac{\beta}{\beta}$

an so we use t^{\dagger} e ax o to et

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \ \mathcal{L} \ \Theta, \Sigma \ \stackrel{s}{\Rightarrow} \ (\Delta, \Phi \ \mathcal{L} \ \Theta, \Sigma
$$

 w^{\dagger} ere we ene-

$$
C \qquad \vee (\vec{n} \quad \vec{T} \quad \vec{n} \quad \vec{T} \quad . (C \quad E \quad n \text{ let } \vec{x} \quad \vec{T} = \vec{e} \text{ in } t
$$

• **Case** $(p \text{ dom}(C), n \text{ dom}(C))$ e ust $\sqrt{\text{ave } t}$ at

$$
C \qquad \psi(\vec{p}\cdot \vec{U}) \cdot (C \qquad p O \qquad n \text{ let } y \in U = \text{block in } t
$$

Moreover, since *C* is write close we ust have that the axiomist

$$
p O \quad n \text{ let } x \in T = p.l (\vec{v} \text{ in } t^{-\tau} p O \quad n \text{ let } x \in T = O.l (p (\vec{v} \text{ in } t
$$

 $\ln w^{\prime}$ c¹ case.

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad -C \quad \Theta, \Sigma \quad \frac{s \nu (\vec{n} - \vec{T} \quad n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v})}{\sqrt{2 \mu^2 + 4 \mu^2 + 4
$$

 w^{\dagger} ere we e-ne-

$$
C \qquad v(\vec{n} - \vec{T} \quad . (C \quad n \text{ let } x - T = \text{block in } t
$$

an we partition ${\{\vec{n} \quad \vec{T}\}}$ into ${\{\vec{n} \quad \vec{T}, \vec{n} \quad \vec{T}\}}$ such that ${\{\vec{n} \}}$ fn $(p.l(\vec{v} \text{ an } \{\vec{n} \})$ \int **fn** $(p.l(\vec{v}) = 0)$

 e a so $\frac{1}{2}$ ave

$$
(\Delta, \Phi \quad C \quad \Theta, \Sigma \quad \frac{s \nu (\vec{n} - \vec{T} \quad n \text{ call } p.l(
$$

$\mathbf{B.}$ Technical preliminaries

In a co ponent $v(\Delta \cdot (p O C))$

A *component for* Δ *r* \rightarrow s if trace Θ resp_{. f}or Δ *q r* \rightarrow since Θ is one of Λ e f ^{or} ν(Θ(*s* \Θ(*q*)). ν(ref : Ref . ν(state*^r* : State | ∆ *r r* : trace Θ .(ref val = state*^r* Π {state_r State(Δ *r* - *s* trace Θ | Δ *r* - *r* trace Θ } $\prod\{p \ l_i = \text{ref.val.inCall}_{p\ l_i\ l_i} \ | \ i = \ \ldots \ n + p - l_i \ L_i \ | \ i = \ \ldots \ n \ \ \Theta, \Theta(s \ \})$ $\Pi\{n \mid t_n \perp n$ thread $\Theta, \Theta(s)$ \prod {*n t*_{*n*}</sub> \bot *n*_{\bot} thread Δ , Δ (*s* and *n* threads (*q* } where t_n is a thread at n_f or Δ *r* \rightarrow s \equiv trace Θ resp. for Δ *q* $r \rightarrow$ s in trace Θ . A *thread at n for* Δ *r* — *s* = trace Θ *s* one o_f the following. 1 let x T = ref.val.out_{*T*}(\int in *t* w[†] ere *n* s output-enable an ∆ *r* in αce Θ and *t* is a return $(x$ *T* t[†] read at *n* f or Δ *r* — *s* increase Θ \vdash let x T = block in *t* w[†] ere *n s anput* enab e *an* ∆ *r* in trace Θ an *t s* a return(*x* if the *T* thread at *n* f_r or Δ *r* — *s* in trace Θ A return $(\psi \cap T$ *thread at n for* Δ *r* \rightarrow **s** trace Θ *s* one o_f Λe_f \circ \bullet ψ 1. *v* w^{\prime} ere *n* is balance in *r*. $+$ ref.val.inReturn $T(v, t)$ w^{\dagger} ere $r = r$ *ar* $a = v(\Theta \text{ .} n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \text{ } n \text{ .s} \text{ ba} \text{ once } n r$ an *t* is a t^{*k*} read t *n*_{*f*} or Δ *r* \rightarrow **s** trace Θ $1 \text{ let } y \text{ } U = \text{return } (y \text{ } T \text{ in } t)$ where $r = r$ *ar* $a = v(\Theta \text{ n} \text{ call } p \cdot l(\vec{v}^{\text{v}} \text{ n} \text{ s} \text{ ba} \text{ an} \text{ c} \text{ n} \text{ r})$ an *t* is a return $(y \cup U$ thread at n_f or Δ *r* - s trace Θ

F_f ure \bullet De n.t.on \circ a component for Δ *r* \bullet : trace Θ and for Δ *q* \circ *r* \bullet s in trace Θ

A *thread at n for* Δ *q r* — s trace Θ *s* one o_f $\int_1^{\infty} e_i$ o ow n 1. stop α a t[†] rea at *n*_{*f*} or ∆ *r* − *s* is trace Θ w^{\prime} ere proj *n* (*q*) = proj *n* (*r*) $1 \text{ let } x \text{ and } T = p.l(\vec{v} \text{ in } t)$ where proj $n (qa) = \text{proj } n (r \ a = v(\Theta \ \ldots \ \text{call } p \cdot l(\vec{v} \ \ldots \ \text{an } t \ \text{ s a return}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{r}))$ t^{*N*} rea at *n*_f or Δ *r* — *s* in trace Θ $1 \text{ let } x \text{ is } T = \text{return } (\nu \text{ is } U \text{ in } t)$ where proj*n* $(qa) = \text{proj } n$ (*r* $a = v(\Theta \text{ .} n \text{ return } v \text{ an } t \text{ .} s \text{ a return} (x \text{ .} T)$ t^{*N*} rea at *n*_f or \triangle *r* - *s* in trace Θ $U = \text{ref.val.}$ *U* in Call_{p,*l*}:*L*(\vec{v} in let *x* : *T* = return(*y* : *U* in *t* w'\ere proj $n (q) = \text{proj } n (ra \ a = v(\Delta \ a \ a \text{ all } p.l(\vec{v} \) \ a \text{ in } t \ s \text{ a return}(\vec{x} \cdot T$ thead at *n*, or Δ *r* — *s* increase Θ . 6. *t* w¹ ere proj *n* (*q*) = proj *n* (*ra* $a = v(\Delta \cdot n \text{ return } v^2 \text{ an } t \text{ s a return } (v \cdot T)$ t^{*N*} read at *n*_f or Δ *r* — *s* is trace Θ _f or some *T*. $\text{ref} \text{·real} = \text{new State}(\Delta \text{ r} \text{ a} \text{ −} \text{ s} \text{ -} \text{trace } \Theta \text{ ,} t$ where proj $n (q) = \text{proj } n (ra \text{ an } t \text{ s a } t \text{ i}$ read at n_f or Δ $ra = s$ trace Θ 8. *t* w^{\dagger} ere *n t* β *n t* an *t* s a t[†] read t *n* for Δ *q r* <u>—s</u> trace Θ F_k ure De n.t.on o_f a t^{*k*} read for Δ *q r* − s trace Θ

Proof: An inspection of $t \searrow e$ is notion of Comp (Δ *s* in trace Θ . \square

Lemma B. *If* Δ *r a* \rightarrow *s* Δ *r* Δ *c* \in Θ *is a component for* Δ *r* \rightarrow \in *****r* Δ *r* \in Θ a^a *d* \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} *is a component for* Δ *r a* \overline{a} \overline{a} \overline{c} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C} \overline{C}

Proof: By cons, er.n the ent.on of Δ *r* incree Θ we see that the following cases are exhaustwe.

1. **Case** *a* = ν(Θ′′′ .*n* return*v* ! and*C* ν(Θ′′′ .*C* ref val = state*^r n* let *y* :*U* = ref.val.out*^U* () in let *x* : *T* = return(*y* : *U* in *t* We have: (∆ *C* : Θ τ (∆ ν(Θ′′′ .*C* ref val = state*^r n* let *y* : *U* = state*^r* .out*^U* () in let *x* : *T* = return(*y* : *U* in *t* : Θ β (∆ ν(Θ′′′ .*C* ref val = state*^r n* ref.val := new State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)];let *y* : *U* = *v* in let *x* : *T* = return(*y* : *U* in *t* : Θ τ (∆ ν(Θ′′′ ,state*r a* : State .*C* ref val = state*r a* state*r a* State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)] *n* let *y* : *U* = *v* in let *x* : *T* = return(*y* : *U* in *t* : Θ β (∆ ν(Θ′′′ ,state*r a* : State .*C* ref val = state*r a* state*r a* State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)] *n* let *x* : *T* = return(*v* : *U* in *t* : Θ *a* (∆ ν(state*r a* : State .*C* ref val = state*r a* state*r a* State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)] *n* let *x* : *T* = block in *t* : Θ ,Θ′′′ which is a component for ∆ *r a s* : trace Θ as required. 2. **Case** *a* = ν(Θ′′′ .*n* call *p*.*l*(~*v* ! and*C* ν(Θ′′′ .*C* ref val = state*^r n* let *y* :*U* = ref.val.out*^U* () in *t* We have: (∆ *C* : Θ τ (∆ ν(Θ′′′ .*C* ref val = state*^r n* let *y* : *U* = state*^r* .out*^U* () in *t* : Θ β (∆ ν(Θ′′′ .*C* ref val = state*^r n* ref.val := new State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)]; let *x* : *T* = *p*.*l*(~*v* in ref.val.inReturn*^T* (*x* ;let *y* : *U* = ref.val.out*^U* () in *t* : Θ τ (∆ ν(Θ′′′ ,state*r a* : State .*C* ref val = state*r a* state*r a* State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)] *n* let *x* : *T* = *p*.*l*(~*v* in ref.val.inReturn*^T* (*x* ;let *y* : *U* = ref.val.out*^U* () in *t* : Θ *a* (∆ ν(state*r a* : State .*C* ref val = state*r a* state*r a* State(∆ *r a s* : trace Θ)] *n* let *x* : *T* = block in ref.val.inReturn*^T* (*x* ;let *y* : *U* = ref.val.out*^U* () in *t* : Θ ,Θ′′′ which is a component for ∆ *r a s* : trace Θ as required. 3. **Case** *a* = ν(∆ ′′′ .*n* return*v* ? and*C C* ref val= state*^r n* let *x* : *T* = block in ref.val.inReturn*^T* (*x* ;*t*

e 'have
\n
$$
(\Delta - C - \Theta
$$
\n
$$
(\Delta \Delta C \text{ ref val} = \text{state}_r
$$
\n
$$
n \text{ let } x \cdot T = v \text{ in ref val inReturn}_T(x + \Theta)
$$
\n
$$
\beta \quad (\Delta, \Delta C \text{ ref val} = \text{state}_r
$$
\n
$$
n \text{ if } x \text{ all } x \text{
$$

for Δ *q r* − *s* intended meaning the intended meaning that a component for Δ *q* $r = s$ trace Θ has performed the trace *q* and this is related to some prefix of *s*. Note that as prefix order in on traces is contained in

- \therefore **Case** *C* \therefore *C* ref val = state_{*r*} \therefore *n* ref. \star al = new State(\triangle \therefore *r a* − *s* · trace Θ \therefore *t* $\int_a^{\tau} v(\text{state}_{ra}^T \text{ State } .C \text{ ref } \text{val} = \text{state}_{ra} \quad \text{state}_{ra} \text{ State}(\Delta \quad ra = -s \quad \text{trace } \Theta \quad n \ t \quad C$ w¹ ere *t* is a t¹ read t *n* for Δ *r a* — *s* is trace Θ. By e n.t.on C s a co ponent for Δ *q ra* - s trace Θ $\int_{\bullet}^{\tau} v(\tau) d\tau d\tau$
- \int **Case** *C* $C \cdot n$ let x T = ref.val.out_{*T*} () in *t* \int ^T $C \cdot n$ let x T = state_{*r*}.out_{*T*} () in *t* C where proj *n* (*q*) = proj *n* (*r n* is output enable in $\Delta - r$ in trace Θ and *t* is a return(*x* : *T* $t\text{Re}$ at *n*_f or Δ *r* <u>—s</u> trace Θ.

 $\iint_{\vec{i}} \Delta \quad ra \longrightarrow \text{trace } \Theta \text{ an } a = v(\Theta \quad n \text{ call } p.l(\vec{v} \quad \vec{t} \text{)} \text{ en}.$

 $C^{-\beta}$ *C n* ref.val = new State(Δ *r a* - s trace Θ , ref.val.inReturn_{*U*}(p *.l*(\vec{v} , let *x* = *T* = ref.val.out_{*T*}(in *t*

 $w^{\dagger} c^{\dagger}$ *s* a co ponent for Δ *q r* \rightarrow **s** trace Θ as require

If Δ *ra* \rightarrow *s* trace Θ and $a = v(\Theta \cdot n \text{ return } v \text{ if } \theta$ we must have that $r = r \text{ } v(\Theta \cdot n \text{ if } \theta)$. \hat{n} call $p.l(\vec{v} \quad ?r \quad w'$ ere *n* is balance in *r*

 α **Case** (Δ *C n* let *x* = *T* = block in *+* α Θ $\frac{v(Δ \cdot n \text{ cal}|p.l(∇}^2)}{(Δ \cdot, Δ \cdot Cn \text{ let } y \cdot U)}$ $p.l(\vec{v} \text{ in } let x \text{ or } T = return(y \text{ or } in t \text{ or } \Theta)$ w¹ ere proj *n* (*q*) = proj *n* (*r n* is input enable in $\Delta - r$ trace Θ and *t* is a return(*x* if *T* t^{λ} rea at *n* for Δ *r* — *s* in trace Θ . $e^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ave $C \xrightarrow{\beta} C n$ let y : U = ref.val.inCall_{p.}*l*:*L*(\vec{v} in let *x* = *T* = return(*y* = *U* in *t* $w^{\dagger} c^{\dagger}$ *s* a co ponent for Δ *q a r* = s trace Θ as require α **Case** (∆ *C n* let *x* = *T* = block in *t* ∴ Θ $\frac{v(Δ \cdot .n \text{ return } v}{ }$ (Δ, Δ *C n* let *x* = *v* in *t* ∴ Θ where proj $n (q) = \text{proj } n (r \mid n \text{ s} \text{ input end } e \text{ and } \Delta = r \text{ trace } \Theta \text{ an } t \text{ s a return}(x \mid T)$ t^{λ} rea at *n* for Δ *r* — *s* = trace Θ. $e^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ave $C \left| \begin{array}{cc} \beta & C \ n t \ \nu/x \end{array} \right.$ $w^{\dagger} c^{\dagger}$ is a component for Δ *q a r* = trace Θ as require α **Case** (Δ **v**(Θ . *C n* let *x* = *T* = *p*.*l*(\vec{v} in *t* Θ $\xrightarrow{v(\Theta \text{ n.} \text{call } p.l(\vec{v})}$ (Δ *C n* let *x* = *T* = block in *t* : Θ ,Θ′′′ where proj *n* (*q a*) = proj *n* (*r* and *t* is a return(x if r thread at n_f or Δ r \rightarrow s in trace Θ e^{\oint_A} ave *C* is a component for Δ *q a r* — *s* is trace Θ as require ∞ **Case** (Δ v(Θ . *C n* let *x* = *T* = return $(\nu U \text{ in } t \to \Theta$ $\frac{\nu(\Theta \text{ in } t \text{ in } t \to \Theta)}{\nu(\Theta \text{ in } t \to \Theta)}$ (Δ *C n* let *x* $T = \text{block in } t \longrightarrow \Theta, \Theta$ where proj *n* (*q a*) = proj *n* (*r* and *t* is a return(x in T thread at *n*_{*i*} or Δ r = s trace Θ . e^{λ} ave *C* is a component for Δ *q a r* — s trace Θ as require \Box

The only $\frac{1}{2}$ if α_i is defined the now follows by in uction on Lemmas B.6, B.7, and B.7, with Le a B. as the base case, a unappropriate use α_i Corollary B.1.

References

M Aba an L Car e *A Theory Of Objects* pran er er a

1 Abra s y 1 Ja a eesan an raat f Fu t J_o f absn t tractoe 1 nn f orn

 1 M ner. Fully abstract set antics of type λ calculing *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*

1 M_r ner. *Communicating and Mobile Systems*. Ca. br_r e n.vers.ty ress

 1 M **rior** J. arrow and D. a end A calculus of ob_{**c**} e proceses. *Inform. and Comput.* σ

[20] R. Milner and D. Sangiorgi. Barbed bisimulation. In *Proc. Int. Colloq. Automata, Languages and Programming* volue σ _{*f*} *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* princer-

J. H. Morris. La bacaculus o e sof prora in an ua es Dissertation, M.I.T., 1968.

B. **Letter and D.** Sangior 3 subtyping for object processes. *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*

A. M. tts and I. D. B. stark. I. bservable properties of λ denote \mathbf{r}_i unctions that dynamically create oca na es-or: 'at s new? In *Proc. MFCS 93* pages 1 pringer-er a $1 L C$

G. ot all LCF cons, ere as a pro ranguage. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 1977.